The document is a sample ballot for the 2022 General Election in Pueblo County, Colorado. It contains instructions on how to vote, a list of candidates for various offices, and warning statements about voting fraud. The ballot includes sections for United States Senator, Governor/Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, State Board of Education Member – At Large, State Senator – District 3, State Representative – District 46, County Commissioner – District 3, County Clerk and Recorder, and County Treasurer. Each section lists the candidates' names, parties, and sometimes party affiliations. The ballot also includes instructions on write-in voting and correction of mistakes. The page is marked with various icons and symbols, including a warning about the consequences of voting fraud.
County Offices

County Sheriff (Vote for One)
- Joey Musso (Republic)
- David J. Lucero (Democrat)

County Surveyor (Vote for One)
- Randy Reeves (Democrat)

County Coroner (Vote for One)
- Zolanye McCulley-Bachicha (Democrat)
- Brian Cotter (Republican)

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)

Colorado Court of Appeals Judge
- Shall Judge Jody Casey Brown of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Terry Fox of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Christina Frizel Gomez of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Matthew D. Groves of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Suzanne P. Johnson of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Lora S. Lipanyo de Oro of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Nelda V. Power of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Cleo H. Yung of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

District Court Judge - 10th Judicial District
- Shall Judge Thomas B. Fletcher of the 10th Judicial District be retired in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Amri J. Mankison of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No) - District Court Judge - 10th Judicial District
- Shall Judge Larry Schwartz of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

Shall Judge Gregory J. Stubble of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - Yes
  - No

State Ballot Measures

Proposition FF (STATUTORY)
- Shall State taxes be increased $140,721,830 annually by a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes that, to support healthy meals for public school students, increases state taxable income only for individuals who have federal taxable income of $300,000 or more by limiting Itemized or standard state income tax deductions to $12,035 for single taxable filers and $15,090 for joint taxable filers, and, in connection therewith, creating the healthy school meals fund for all programs to provide free meals to students in public schools. Providing grants for participating schools to purchase Colorado grown, raised, or processed products. To increase wages or provide stipends for employees who prepare and serve school meals, and to create parent and student advisory committees to provide advice to ensure school meals are healthy and appealing to all students, and creating a program to assist in promoting Colorado food products and preparing school meals using basic culinary ingredients with minimal reliance on processed products?
  - YES/FOR
  - NO/AGAINST

Proposition GG (STATUTORY)
- Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes requiring that the public fiscal summary for any ballot initiative that increases or decreases state income tax rates include a table showing the average income tax change for taxable filers in different income categories?
  - YES/FOR
  - NO/AGAINST

Proposition 121 (STATUTORY)
- Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes reducing the state income tax rate from 4.65% to 4.40%?
  - YES/FOR
  - NO/AGAINST

Proposition 122 (STATUTORY)
- Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning legal regulated access to natural medicine for persons 21 years of age or older, and, in connection therewith, defining natural medicine as certain plants or fungi that affect a person’s mental health and are controlled substances under state law, establishing a natural medicine regulatory access program or suspension thereof and requiring the department of regulatory agencies to implement the program and comprehensively regulate natural medicine to protect public health and safety, creating an advisory board to advise the department as to the implementation of the program; granting a local government limited authority to regulate the time, place, and manner of providing natural medicine services; allowing limited personal possession, use, and uncompensated sharing of natural medicine; providing specified protections under state law, including immunity to law enforcement and state and local government officials, and users of natural medicine; and, in limited circumstances, allowing the retroactive removal and reduction of criminal penalties related to the possession, use, and sale of natural medicine?
  - YES/FOR
  - NO/AGAINST

Proposition 123 (STATUTORY)
- Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning statewide funding for additional affordable housing, and, in connection therewith, dedicating state revenues collected from an existing tax of one-tenth of one percent on federal taxable income of every individual, estate, trust, and corporation, as defined in law, for affordable housing and exempting the dedicated revenues from the constitutional limitation on state local year spending; allocating 65% of the dedicated revenues to affordable housing financing programs that will reduce rents, purchase land for affordable housing development, and build assets for renters, allocating 40% of the dedicated revenues to programs that support affordable home ownership, serve persons experiencing homelessness, and support local planning capacity; requiring local governments that seek additional affordable housing funding to expend development approval for affordable housing projects and commit to increasing the number of affordable housing units by 3% annually, and specifying that the dedicated revenues shall not supplant existing appropriations for affordable housing programs?
  - YES/FOR
  - NO/AGAINST

Mark both sides of the ballot