To vote for a named candidate, completely fill in the oval to the left of your choice. Use blue or black ink.

To vote for an eligible write-in candidate, completely fill in the oval to the left of the write-in line, and print the name of the candidate on the line. If a race does not contain a write-in line, you cannot vote for a write-in candidate.

To make a correction in a race with more than one candidate, draw a bold line through the oval and candidate name marked by mistake, then fill in the oval next to the correct name. If you voted for the only candidate in a race and want to withdraw that vote, you must request a replacement ballot.

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

**Federal Offices**

**United States Senator**  (Vote for One)
- Michael Bennet, Democrat
- Joe O’Dea, Republican
- T.J. Cole
- Brian Peotter, Libertarian
- Frank Atwood, Approval Voting
- Write-In

**State Offices**

**Governor/Lieutenant Governor**  (Vote for One Pair)
- Heidi Ganahl / Danny Moore, Republican
- Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera, Democratic
- Paul Noël Fiorino / Cynthia Munhos de Aquino Sirianni, Unity
- Danielle Neuschwanger / Darryl Gibbs, American Constitution
- Kevin Ruskusky / Michele Poague, Libertarian
- Write-In

**Secretary of State**  (Vote for One)
- Pam Anderson, Republican
- Jena Griswold, Democratic
- Gary Swing, Unity
- Jan Kok, Approval Voting
- Amanda Campbell, American Constitution
- Bennett Rutledge, Libertarian

**State Treasurer**  (Vote for One)
- Dave Young, Democratic
- Lang Sias, Republican
- Anthony J. Delgado, Libertarian

**Attorney General**  (Vote for One)
- John Kellner, Republican
- Phil Weiser, Democratic
- William F. Robinson III, Libertarian
- Write-In

**County Offices**

**County Clerk and Recorder**  (Vote for One)
- Nathan Baxter, Republican
- Candace Rivera, Democratic

**County Treasurer**  (Vote for One)
- Kim Archulella, Democratic
- Michelle M, Gray, Republican

**State Board of Education Member - At Large**  (Vote for One)
- Kathy Plomer, Democrat
- Dan Maloit, Republican
- Ryan Van Gundy, Libertarian
- Eric Bodenstab, Unity

**State Senator - District 3**  (Vote for One)
- Nick Hinrichsen, Democrat
- Stephen A. Varela, Republican
- Write-In

**State Representative - District 47**  (Vote for One)
- Edwin Dean Ormiston, Democrat
- Ty Winter, Republican

**State Representative - District 3**  (Vote for One)
- Zach Swearingen, Republican
- Roxy Pignanelli, Republican
- Write-In

Mark both sides of the ballot
County Offices

County Sheriff (Vote for One)
- Joey Musso (Republican)
- David J. Lucero (Democrat)

County Surveyor (Vote for One)
- Randy Reeves (Democrat)

County Coroner (Vote for One)
- Zolanye McCulley-Bachicha (Democrat)
- Brian Cotter (Republican)

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)

Colorado Court of Appeals Judge
- Shall Judge John-Cheyney Brown of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Tony Fox of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Christine Frizel Gomez of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Mathew D. Grove of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Susana P. Johnson of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Luis L. López de Oroz of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Neil V. Pauer of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge David H. Yoon of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

District Court Judge - 10th Judicial District
- Shall Judge Thomas B. Flesher of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Angel J. Markman of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)

District Court Judge - 10th Judicial District
- Shall Judge Larry Schwartz of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Gregory J. Stidham of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

- Shall Judge Steven B. Fieldman of the Pueblo County Court be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

State Ballot Measures

Proposition FF (STATUTORY)

Shall state taxes be increased $100,271,830 annually by a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes that, to support healthy meals for public school students, increases state tax income only for individuals who have federal taxable income of $30,000 or more by limiting itemized or standard-state income tax deductions to $12,500 for single tax return filers and $15,500 for joint tax return filers, and, in connection therewith, creating the healthy school meals for all program to provide free school meals to students in public schools, providing grants for participating schools to purchase Colorado grown, raised, or processed products, to increase wages or provide stipends for employees of teachers and serve school meals, and to create parent and student advisory committees to provide advice to ensure school meals are healthy and appealing to all students, and creating a program to assist in promoting Colorado food products and preparing school meals using basic nutrients and ingredients with minimal reliance on processed products?
- YES
  - NO

Proposition GG (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes requiring that the fact sheet and fiscal summary for any ballot initiative that increases or decreases state taxes be included in the ballot listing the average tax change for tax filers in different income categories?
- YES
  - NO

Proposition 121 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes reducing the state income tax rate from 4.65% to 4.40%?
- YES
  - NO

Proposition 122 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning legal regulated access to natural medicine for persons 21 years of age or older, and, in connection therewith, defining natural medicine as certain plants or fungi that affect a person’s mental health and are controlled substances under state law, establishing a natural medicine regulated access program for persons who are specified to use, possess, and regulated by the department of regulatory agencies to implement the program and comprehensively regulating natural medicine to protect public health and safety, creating an advisory board to advise the department as to the implementation of the program; granting a local government limited authority to regulate the time, place, and manner of providing natural medicine services; allowing limited personal possession, use, and uncompensated sharing of natural medicine; providing specified protections under state law, including personal and civil immunity for natural medicine providers and users of natural medicine; and, in limited circumstances, allowing the recreational use, and reduction of criminal penalties related to the possession, use, and sale of natural medicine?
- YES
  - NO

Proposition 123 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning statewide funding for additional affordable housing, and, in connection therewith, dedicating state revenues collected from an existing tax of one-tenth of one percent on federal taxable income of every individual, estate, trust, and corporation, as defined in law, for affordable housing and exempting the dedicated revenues from the constitutional limitation on state fiscal year spending; allocating 50% of the dedicated revenues to affordable housing financing programs that will reduce rents, purchase land for affordable housing development, and build assets for renters, allocating 40% of the dedicated revenues to programs that support affordable home ownership, serve persons experiencing homelessness, and support local planning capacity, requiring local governments that seek additional affordable housing funding to expedite development approvals for affordable housing projects and commit to increasing the number of affordable housing units by 3% annually, and specifying that the dedicated revenues shall not supplant existing appropriations for affordable housing programs?
- YES
  - NO

Mark both sides of the ballot