**Federal Offices**  
United States Senator  
(Vote for One)
- Michael Bennet (D)  
- Joe O’Dea (R)  
- T.J. Cole (I)  
- Brian Peotter (LP)  
- Frank Atwood (-)  
- Write-In

**State Offices**  
Governor/Lieutenant Governor  
(Vote for One Pair)  
- Heidi Ganahl / Danny Moore (R)  
- Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera (D)  
- Paul Noël Fiorino / Cynthia Munhos de Aquino Sirianni (I)  
- Danielle Neuschwanger / Darryl Gibbs (AC)  
- Kevin Ruskusky / Michele Pragge (LP)  
- Write-In

**State Board of Education Member - At Large**  
(Vote for One)  
- Kathy Plomer (D)  
- Dan Maloit (R)  
- Ryan Van Gundy (LP)  
- Eric Bodenstab (I)  
- Kevin Ruskusky / Michele Pragge (LP)  
- Write-In

**County Offices**  
County Commissioner - District 3  
(Vote for One)  
- Zach Swearingen (R)  
- Roxy Pignanelli (D)  
- Zach Swearingen (R)  
- Roxy Pignanelli (D)  

**Mark both sides of the ballot**
County Offices

County Sheriff (Vote for One)
- Joey Musso (Republican)
- David J. Lucero (Democrat)

County Surveyor (Vote for One)
- Randy Reeves (Democrat)

County Coroner (Vote for One)
- Zolanye McCulley-Bachicha (Democrat)
- Brian Cotter (Republican)

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)

Colorado Court of Appeals Judge
- Shall Judge Jayed A. Brown of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Terry Fox of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Christina Flaherty of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Matthew O. Groves of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Susan P. Johnson of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Lino J. Lopez de Oro of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Nidet V. Paul of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Cecil H. Yur of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

District Court Judge - 10th Judicial District
- Shall Judge Thomas B. Fleischer of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Ann J. Wanzer of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)

District Court Judge - 10th Judicial District
- Shall Judge Larry Schwartz of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO
- Shall Judge Gregory J. Shubnikow of the 10th Judicial District be retained in office?
  - YES
  - NO

State Ballot Measures

Proposition FF (STATUTORY)
Shall State Taxes be increased $107,217,820 Annually by a Change to the Colorado Revised Statutes that, To Support Healthy Meals for Public School Students, Increases State Taxable Income Only for Individuals Who Have Federal Taxable Income of $300,000 or More by Limiting Itemized or Standard State Income Tax Deductions to $12,500 for Single Tax Return Filers and $18,500 for Joint Tax Return Filers, And, in Connection Therewith, Creating the Healthy School Meals For All Program to Provide Free School Meals to Students in Public Schools. Providing Grants for Participating Schools to Purchase Colorado Grown, Raised, or Processed Products, to Increase Wages or Provide stipends for Employees Who Prepare and Serve School Meals, and To Create Parent and Student Advisory Committees to Provide Advice to Ensure School Meals Are Healthy and Appealing to All Students, and Creating a Program to Assist in Promoting Colorado Food Products and Preparing School Meals Using Basic Nutrient Ingredients With Minimal Reliance on Processed Products?
- YES/ FOR
- NO/ AGAINST

Proposition GG (STATUTORY)
Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes requiring that the tax base and fiscal summary for any ballot initiative that increases or decreases state income taxes include a table showing the average state tax change for tax filers in different income categories?
- YES/ FOR
- NO/ AGAINST

Proposition 121 (STATUTORY)
Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes reducing the state income tax rate from 4.65% to 4.40%?
- YES/ FOR
- NO/ AGAINST

Proposition 122 (STATUTORY)
Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning legal regulated access to natural medicine for persons 21 years of age or older, and, in connection therewith, defining natural medicine as certain plants or fungi that affect a person's mental health and are controlled substances under state law, establishing a natural medicine regulated access program for supervised and requiring the department of regulatory agencies to implement the program and comprehensively regulate natural medicine to protect public health and safety, creating an advisory board to advise the department as to the implementation of the program; granting a local government limited authority to regulate the time, place, and manner of providing natural medicine services; allowing limited personal possession, use, and uncompensated sharing of natural medicine; providing specified protections under state law, including personal and civil immunity for providers and users of natural medicine; and, in limited circumstances, allowing the retroactive reduction and criminal penalties related to the possession, use, and sale of natural medicine?
- YES/ FOR
- NO/ AGAINST

Proposition 123 (STATUTORY)
Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning statewide funding for additional affordable housing, and, in connection therewith, dedicating state revenues collected from an existing tax of one-tenth of one percent on federal taxable income of every individual, estate, trust, and corporation, as defined in law, for affordable housing and exempting the dedicated revenues from the constitutional limitation on state fiscal year spending; allocating 65% of the dedicated revenues to affordable housing financing programs that will reduce rents, purchase land for affordable housing development, and build assets for renters, allocating 40% of the dedicated revenues to programs that support affordable home ownership, serve persons experiencing homelessness, and support local planning capacity, requiring local governments that seek additional affordable housing funding to expend development approvals for affordable housing projects and commit to increasing the number of affordable housing units by 3% annually, and specifying that the dedicated revenues shall not supplant existing appropriations for affordable housing programs?
- YES/ FOR
- NO/ AGAINST